

CABLE SECRETARIAT DISSEMINATION
PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED

CLASSIFIED MESSAGE

TOTAL COPIES //

ROUTING AND/OR INITIALS: **SECRET** BY**SECRET**
(When Filled In)GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

REPRODUCTION OF THIS COPY PROHIBITED

ADVANCE COPY ☐ ISSUED ☐ SLOTTED

BY: _____ AT: _____

DISSEM BY 8 PER _____
ACTIONINDEX: ☐ YES ☐ NO

CLASSIFY TO FILE NO. _____

X-REF TO FILE NO. _____

FILE RID ☐ RET. TO _____ BRANCH ☐ DESTROY ☐ SIG.

INTO:

FILE VR. C/R/SD, C/FI 2, C/CSECRET 101730Z MAR 69 CITE ☐ 9952☐ DIRECTORRYBAT CALL CAPEDEL CATUSK

REFS: A. DIRECTOR 81271

B. ☐ 6742 CIN 09018

10 MAR 69 IN 10822

1. FROM PAST EXPERIENCE HAVE FOUND THAT CAWHISPER NOT LIKELY HAVE APPROPRIATE CONTACTS TO BE OF HELP PACIFYING TROUBLESOME EX-AGENTS. WHILE CATUSK MIGHT BE HELPFUL IF REQUESTED, BELIEVE IT LIKELY THAT CATUSK WOULD DEBRIEF SUBJECT AND THEREBY BECOME FULLY AWARE HIS KNOWLEDGE OF MOB OPS PERSONNEL AND SUPPORT ASSETS LISTED REF A.

2. BASED ABOVE CONSIDERED IT ADVISABLE FOR MUNICH TO ATTEMPT HANDLE MATTER WITHOUT ASSISTANCE LIAISON. HOWEVER PRIOR TO FORMULATING OUR POSITION, IT IS ESSENTIAL THAT WE ESTABLISH IF CAPEDEL (SUBJECT) HAS A VALID CLAIM AND IF SO, HOW SUCH CLAIM CAN BE SATISFIED WITHOUT SUBJECTING WOFIRM TO IMPLIED OR ACTUAL BLACKMAIL IN THE FUTURE. TOWARD THIS END SUGGEST THAT:

(A) SUBJECT BE CONTACTED AND ADVISED THAT WE ARE REVIEWING VALIDITY OF HIS CLAIM AND THAT IT WOULD BE HELPFUL IF HE SUBMIT STATEMENT SETTING FORTH BASIS FOR AND DETAILS OF HIS CLAIM

NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT

EXEMPTIONS Section 3(b)

(2)(A) Privacy ☐(2)(B) Methods/Sources ☒(2)(C) Foreign Relations ☐Declassified and Approved for Release
by the Central Intelligence Agency
Date: 2005**SECRET**

10 Mar 69

CABLE SECRETARIAT DISSEMINATION		CLASSIFIED MESSAGE	TOTAL COPIES	ROUTING AND/OR INITIALS - SEEN BY	
PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED		SECRET (When Filled In) GROUP 1 EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC DOWNGRADING AND DECLASSIFICATION REPRODUCTION OF THIS COPY PROHIBITED	1	6	
ADVANCE COPY <input type="checkbox"/> ISSUED <input type="checkbox"/> SLOTTED			2	7	
BY: _____ AT: _____ Z			3	8	
DISSEM BY: _____ PER _____			4	9	
ACTION <input type="checkbox"/> RID COPY			5	10	
		INDEX: <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO			
		CLASSIFY TO FILE NO. _____			
		X-REF TO FILE NO. _____			
		FILE RID <input type="checkbox"/> RET. TO _____			
		BRANCH <input type="checkbox"/> DESTROY <input type="checkbox"/> S/G.			
		INFO: _____			
		FILE, VR.			

PAGE 2 ☐ 9952 S E C R E T

PER ATTACHMENT TO EGMA-72207.

(B) THAT AFTER RECEIPT OF SUBJECT'S STATEMENT ☐ ☐
 CONTACT ☐ ☐ AND PRESENT HYPOTHETICAL CASE TO HIM WHICH WOULD
 USE AS BASIS CLAIM BY CAPEDEL. ☐ ☐ SHOULD BE REQUESTED TO
 REVIEW CLAIM IN ACCORDANCE WITH APPROPRIATE GERMAN LABOR LAWS AND IF
 SUBJECT'S GRIEVANCE IS JUSTIFIED, RECOMMEND AMOUNT OF SETTLEMENT AND
 MODICUM OF DISBURSEMENT WHICH WOULD GIVE WOFIRM MAXIMUM ASSURANCE
 AGAINST RENEWED AND/OR UNJUSTIFIED CLAIMS FROM SUBJECT IN THE FUTURE.

3. ON RECEIPT OF ☐ ☐ RECOMMENDATION, AND AFTER
 HEADQUARTERS APPROVAL, ☐ ☐ SHOULD MEET WITH SUBJECT AND DEBRIEF
 IN DETAIL ON STATUS OF ALLEGED NEGOTIATIONS PER PARA 3 ☐ ☐ 6632,
 AND LEGAL ACTION PER PARA 4 ☐ ☐ 6632.

4. IF SATISFIED THAT NEITHER OF THESE TWO PLOYS BY SUBJECT
 HAVE REACHED A POINT OF NO RETURN, ☐ ☐ COULD OFFER CAPEDEL/I
 PACKAGE PROPOSAL AS FAIR AND FINAL SETTLEMENT IN RETURN FOR A
 QUIT CLAIM WHICH WOULD CLEARLY DEPRIVE SUBJECT OF ANY BASIS FOR
 FUTURE CLAIMS AGAINST WOFIRM. FILE: ☐ ☐

S E C R E T

SECRET